```
0001
1
 2
                 DESIGN REVIEW BOARD
 3
 4
     THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD OF SULLIVAN'S ISLAND
 5
 6
                  DECEMBER 17, 2008
 7
8
9
10
11
     DATE:
                     Wednesday, December 17, 2008
12
     TIME:
                     6:05 p.m.
13
     LOCATION:
                     Sullivan's Island Town Hall
14
                 1610 Middle Street
                 Sullivan's Island, South Carolina 29482
15
     REPORTED BY:
                     Janice D. Hayward, RMR
16
                 NCRA Registered Merit Reporter
                 Clark & Associates, Inc.
17
                 Post Office Box 73129
                 North Charleston, South Carolina 29415
                 843.762.6294
18
                 www.clark-associates.com
19
                 jan@clark-associates.com
20
21
22
23
24
25
0002
                            PRESENT
1
 2
                         Duke Wright
     Board Members:
                          Pat Ilderton
 3
                          Steve Herlong
                          Betty Harmon
 4
                          Fred Reinhard
                         Jon Lancto
 5
                         Billy Craver
 6
                         Randy Robinson
     Also Present
                         Kat Kenyon
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
```

```
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0003
                        INDEX TO AGENDA
1
 2
 3
     November 2008 minutes approved
                                                          4
 4
     2502 Jasper Boulevard
                                                          5
 5
    3314 Jasper Boulevard
                                                          8
    1607 Poe Avenue
                                                         10
 7
    1420 Thompson Avenue
                                                         21
     2402 Raven Drive
                                                         33
9
     2412 Quarter Street
                                                         39
10
     Meeting adjourned
                                                         71
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0004
                    CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: It is about five
1
 2
               minutes after 6:00 and the Design Review
               Board, December 17th, 2008 -- says seven
 3
               here but I won't say seven, eight.
 5
                          And in attendance are Duke
 6
               Wright, Pat Ilderton, Steve Herlong, John
 7
               Lancto, Betty Harmon, Billy Craven and
 8
               Fred Reinhard.
 9
                    MR. CRAVER: My cousin is Craven, I'm
10
               Craver.
11
                     CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I'm sorry,
12
               Craver.
13
                          And now we have approval of the
14
               November 2008 minutes. Have we all read
15
               them? Do we want to approve them?
                    MR. CRAVER: I move we approve them. MR. WRIGHT: Second.
16
17
18
                    CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in
19
               favor?
20
                    MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
                    CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Aye.
21
22
                    MR. HERLONG: Aye.
                    MS. HARMON: Aye.
23
```

| 24 25 0005 | MR. REINHARD: Aye. MR. LANCTO: Aye. |
|---|---|
| 1 | MR. CRAVER: Aye. |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Minutes are |
| 3 | approved. |
| 4 | First item, 2502 Jasper |
| 5 | Boulevard, addition of a fence. |
| 6 | Randy, what do we have? |
| 7 | MR. ROBINSON: Hang on a second, I |
| 8 | got a little behind there. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Okay. |
| 10 | MR. ROBINSON: What you have is |
| 11 | approval for a fence. This is in the |
| 12 | historic district. The home on there was |
| 13 | moved to that location from another |
| 14 | location. |
| 15 | But what you have in your packet |
| 16 | is a site plan showing where the fence is |
| 17 | going to be, a detail of the fence it's |
| 18 | going to be, a detail of the fence it's going to be four feet wide, |
| 19 | three-and-a-half inch pickets, two-inch |
| 20 | spacing in between them and the |
| 21 | application. |
| 22 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank |
| 23 | |
| 24 | you. |
| 25 | Is the applicant here? Yes, |
| 0006 | ma'am? |
| | |
| | CIICANI IIACIZIII . The recessor continue |
| 1 | SUSAN HASKILL: I'm representing |
| 1 2 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. |
| 1 2 3 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. |
| 1 2 3 4 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks |
| 1 2 3 4 5 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? MR. ROBINSON: I have no other |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? MR. ROBINSON: I have no other comment. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? MR. ROBINSON: I have no other comment. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: What do we all |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? MR. ROBINSON: I have no other comment. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: What do we all think? |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? MR. ROBINSON: I have no other comment. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: What do we all think? MR. REINHARD: I have a question. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? MR. ROBINSON: I have no other comment. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: What do we all think? MR. REINHARD: I have a question. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? MR. ROBINSON: I have no other comment. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: What do we all think? MR. REINHARD: I have a question. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes. MR. REINHARD: Does the zoning |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? MR. ROBINSON: I have no other comment. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: What do we all think? MR. REINHARD: I have a question. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes. |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 0007 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? MR. ROBINSON: I have no other comment. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: What do we all think? MR. REINHARD: I have a question. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes. MR. REINHARD: Does the zoning ordinance allow a 48-inch high fence? |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | them, I'm Susan Haskill. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great, thank you. And it is pretty self-explanatory, I assume, and you don't need to looks like to me it is. You don't need to say anything more if you don't choose to. SUSAN HASKILL: I don't need to say anything. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank you, ma'am. Is there is any public comment on the fence application? (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment section's closed. And Randy? MR. ROBINSON: I have no other comment. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: What do we all think? MR. REINHARD: I have a question. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes. MR. REINHARD: Does the zoning |

| 3 | MS. HARMON: I have one question, are |
|--------|--|
| 4 | the gates going to match the rest of the |
| 5 | fence? |
| 6 | SUSAN HASKILL: Yes, they will. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. |
| 8 | Anybody else have any comments? |
| 9 | Questions? |
| 10 | MR. CRAVER: I move we approve it. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Second? |
| 12 | MR. LANCTO: Second. |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion? |
| 14 | (No response.) |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in |
| 16 | favor? |
| 17 | MR. WRIGHT: Aye. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Aye. |
| 19 | MR. HERLONG: Aye. |
| 20 | MS. HARMON: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. REINHARD: Aye. |
| 22 | MR. LANCTO: Aye. |
| 23 | MR. CRAVER: Aye. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank |
| 25 | you, ma'am. |
| 0008 | 100, 000 |
| 1 | SUSAN HASKILL: Thank you. |
| 2 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: 3314 Jasper |
| 3 | Boulevard, changes to an approved |
| 4 | accessory structure. |
| 5 | MR. ROBINSON: Ready for me? |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes. |
| 7 | MR. ROBINSON: Okay. This one's been |
| 8 | before you before. They came before you |
| 9 | with the whole house plan. When they came |
| 10 | in for plans review on the house we |
| 11 | realized that the little area out back had |
| 12 | a roof on it but the floor was below base |
| 13 | flood elevation, they couldn't do that. |
| 14 | So now they've decided to change |
| 15 | that roof and put an arbor on it which |
| 16 | would be okay and they're coming back and |
| 17 | asking for approval. |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank |
| 19 | you. |
| 20 | All right. Yes, sir? Justin? |
| 21 | JUSTIN FERRICK: Yes. Justin Ferrick |
| 22 | with Beau Clowney Design. Basically what |
| 23 | Randy said, there's still a need for a |
| 24 | shade structure out there, and it's an odd |
| 25 | situation where you're not allowed to have |
| 0009 | |
| 1 | a roof on the structure and have a raised |
| 2 | floor like that, but you can't have an |
| 3 | accessory structure with a height over 15 |
| 4 | feet anyway so it's not like we could lift |
| 5 | it up nor do we want it to be that high |
| 6 7 | regardless. |
| 1 | So the I think the |
| | |

| 8 9 10 | appropriate solution is to do an arbor and then have a vegetative canopy over the top of it for shade. |
|--------------|--|
| 11 12 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank |
| 13 | you. Is there any public comment on |
| 14 | this application? |
| 15 16 | (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment is |
| 17 | closed. |
| 18 19 | Randy, anything else? MR. ROBINSON: No. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. What |
| 21 | does everybody think? |
| 22 23 | MR. WRIGHT: I'm fine with it. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I am fine with it |
| 24 | also. |
| 25 | MR. HERLONG: I think it's a great |
| 0010 | solution, probably better than the roof. |
| 2 | MS. HARMON: I agree. |
| 3 | MR. REINHARD: I agree. |
| 4 5 | MR. LANCTO: Looks good. MR. CRAVER: Rock and roll. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Do I |
| 7 | hear a motion? |
| 8 | MR. REINHARD: Move for approval. |
| 9 | MR. CRAVER: Second. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in |
| 11 | favor? |
| 12 | MR. WRIGHT: Aye. |
| 13 14 | MR. ILDERTON: Aye. MR. HERLONG: Aye. |
| 15 | MS. HARMON: Aye. |
| 16 | MR. REINHARD: Aye. |
| 17 18 | MR. LANCTO: Aye. |
| 19 | MR. CRAVER: Aye. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. |
| 20 | Rolling right along, 1607 Poe, new |
| 21 22 | construction. |
| 23 | MR. ROBINSON: This one came before you all before, last month. Last month |
| 24 | they were asking for increase of principle |
| 25 | building square footage. They have |
| 0011 | reduced it to fit within the guidelines on |
| 2 | the principle building square footage. |
| 3 | What they're asking for tonight |
| 4 5 | is the front dormer projects out into the 45-degree angle that 45-degree setback |
| 6 | so they're asking for an exemption from |
| 7 | that, and also second floor facade setback |
| 8 9 | or building second floor setback |
| 10 | relief. And you'll see both of those on S102. I think that probably best depicts |
| 11 | what they're asking for. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank |

13 you. 14 Eddy? 15 ERNEST FAVA: Good evening. Eddy Fava with EE Fava Architects representing 16 17 Mr. and Mrs. Walsh who we spoke of last 18 week in reference to this property. 19 And as Randy had referenced, 2.0 what was discussed at that time is we were 21 asking for a ten percent increase to what 22 was allowed as far as the square footage 23 which I think amounted to about a hundred 24 square feet. 25 At that time I know there was a 0012 1 neighbor that came forward and said she 2 had not seen the sign. And you all had 3 asked would we meet with her or make the 4 attempt to, which we did, and in good 5 faith spoke about it after that meeting 6 with this neighbor and told her that we 7 had every intention of reducing it and 8 would come back to you with that. 9 So essentially all of the quidelines have been met, every single one 10 11 of them, I will reiterate, with the 12 exception of what was discussed last time, 13 this minuscule projection within the zoning envelope which we think makes for a 14 15 more attractive building and has no 16 detrimental effect to anybody whatsoever, 17 in our opinion, we just think it makes for 18 a more attractive building. 19 And the second level setbacks 20 were discussed last time as well but with 21 the variation that we have in the floor 22 plan and the way it L's back, that was 23 well received last time as well. 24 And I would reiterate that we 25 made attempts to speak with the neighbor, 0013 1 we left messages, had let her know that we 2 would be on site with the owner. And she 3 said she'd be interested in meeting with 4 him and told them the time we'd be there, 5 went there, knocked on the door, there was 6 no response and no return calls, so. 7 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank 8 you, sir. 9 As part of the public comment 10 I'm going to read a letter that the board 11 has and I'll open it up to the floor for 12 public comment. 13 Dear Mr. Chairman and Members of 14 the Board: I am writing to request that 15 the proposed plans for 1607 Poe Avenue be 16 modified to comply with all limits 17 established by the consultants and enacted

18 by the governing body. Compliance with 19 the limits is more consistent with the 20 scale of the existing neighborhood. 21 Further, I am requesting that 22 permission to add any fill to the 23 elevation to 1607 Poe Avenue be denied due 24 to long-standing problems with the 25 flooding area. 0014 1 Thank you for your 2 consideration. 3 Respectfully, Cynthia Holmes. 4 And just a comment on the last 5 sentence, what fill is or is not going to 6 be considered for this property is not 7 part of our purview. It's in the ordinance and that will be applied through 9 the ordinance, I would assume. But we 10 don't have any control or say-so over what 11 fill is added to the property. That's not 12 in this board's purview. 13 It's the architecture and the 14 other -- the limits that determine what we 15 would address, so. And it would be 16 governed by the ordinance. 17 Is that correct, Randy? 18 MR. ROBINSON: That's correct. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: And now I will 19 2.0 open the floor for any public comment. 21 Yes, ma'am. 22 CYNTHIA HOLMES: If I may 23 introduce --24 MS. KENYON: Please state your name. 25 CYNTHIA HOLMES: Cynthia Holmes at 0015 1607 Poe Avenue. If I may enter this, I 1 2 have copies for everybody. And just to 3 say that I believe the minutes will 4 reflect that there was a request to add a 5 foot of fill or request for permission to 6 add a foot of fill at the last meeting. 7 I am here to just let the board 8 know that there is a long-standing problem 9 with flooding. Any elevation will 10 aggravate flooding to others and not 11 just -- there is threatened ground floor 12 living space so I did want to make that --13 make the board aware of that. 14 The other issues are that we are 15 requesting compliance and respect for the 16 town's code. We believe that the 17 consultants, you know, put a lot of time 18 and effort into these recommendations. 19 The neighborhood is a smaller 20 scale neighborhood. We would request, you 21 know, a building consistent with a more

modest neighborhood.

| 23 24 25 0016 | We are also requesting that the primary front facade appears to be out of proportion for the lot and the |
|------------------------|--|
| 1 2 3 | neighborhood and, you know, that is one area of concern. Thank you very much. |
| 4 5 6 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you, ma'am. Is there any other public comment from the section? |
| 7 8 | (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment |
| 9 10 11 | section is closed then. Randy, anything to add? MR. ROBINSON: No. |
| 12 13 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. Billy, comments or questions? |
| 14 15 | MR. CRAVER: It sounds like they've come into compliance with the size |
| 16 17 18 | limitations and that the only thing we're addressing is that one dormer. Is that MS. KENYON: Second floor setback. |
| 19 | MR. CRAVER: And the second floor setback. I don't see how either one of |
| 21 22 23 | those denying either one of those is going to really, you know, help the issue that you raised. |
| 24 25 0017 | I mean, you could cut the size of the house in half, but they're within |
| 1 2 | the ordinance. I probably would I don't say I would probably, I would |
| 3 4 5 | approve the request. I think it's a reasonable request. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you. |
| 6 7 | Jon? MR. LANCTO: Yeah, I think that the |
| 8 9 | only issue we're dealing with is the dormer projection. I think the |
| 10 11 12 | alternative on that would be worse. So I would be inclined to grant them that relief on that issue and approve it as |
| 13 14 | they've got it. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you. |
| 15 16 17 | Fred? MR. REINHARD: Yes, I liked the design of this house the first time it was |
| 18 19 | brought before us and I like it even more now that it meets the principle building |
| 20 21 22 | square footage requirements of the ordinance and I'm ready to pass it and get it final. |
| 23 24 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Betty? MS. HARMON: I agree with Fred, but I |
| 25 0018 | would like to note that the one foot of |
| 1 | fill was mentioned in the minutes and we |

would say that that's not under our 3 purview, to make sure that it's not 4 granted because we said so. Otherwise, 5 I'm fine with it. 6 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Steve? 7 MR. HERLONG: I wasn't here for the 8 first or preliminary submittal or 9 preliminary approval but I did carefully 10 read through the minutes of that and from 11 what I understand, this is not in a 12 historic district, right, it's only here 13 because of those -- it wouldn't even be 14 here if it weren't for that dormer 15 projection and the side setback because 16 you're not asking for any increases now. 17 And I agree, it appears that 18 there have been attempts to break up the 19 massing of the rooflines and the facades. 20 That one-story porch across the front 21 seems to be as much as one can do to be 22 compatible in the neighborhood, that 23 one-story element of a porch out front. 24 And I'm assuming, this is sort 25 of a question of Eddy, the architect, but 0019 1 I think you probably have it about as low 2 to the ground as you can. 3 ERNEST FAVA: Yes, sir, absolutely. MR. HERLONG: It's not like it's 4 5 extra high off the ground. 6 ERNEST FAVA: By virtue of --7 obviously you are familiar with the FEMA 8 regulations, we couldn't go any lower. 9 We'd love to but it's not possible. 10 MR. HERLONG: And it's less than 3,000 square feet. It's nice to see 11 12 smaller homes coming through these days. 13 We often see them much larger than that. 14 So I think it's a nice solution. 15 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Well, although I 16 mourned the demolition of the structure 17 that was there because it's the first 18 house I built on Sullivan's Island, I 19 think this is a nice replacement to that 20 structure. So I think it's fine. 21 MR. WRIGHT: I agree with what's been 22 said. I don't have any problem with the 23 project at all. 24 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Do I hear a 25 motion? 0020 1 MR. CRAVER: I move we approve the 2 request. 3 MR. REINHARD: Second. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion? 5 (No response.) CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in

| 7 | favor? |
|--------|---|
| 8 | MR. WRIGHT: Aye. |
| 9 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Aye. |
| 10 | MR. HERLONG: Aye. |
| 11 | MS. HARMON: Aye. |
| 12 | MR. REINHARD: Aye. |
| 13 | MR. LANCTO: Aye. |
| | |
| 14 | MR. CRAVER: Aye. It's final |
| 15 | approval, yes. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. |
| 17 | ERNEST FAVA: May I make a quick |
| 18 | statement if it's all right? |
| 19 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes. |
| 20 | ERNEST FAVA: And just for the |
| 21 | record, 'cause I know there's some that |
| 22 | signed up and I don't know if anybody is |
| 23 | here for this house, but would like |
| 24 | everybody to know that if there's ever |
| 25 | anything you see with our name on it, |
| 0021 | anything you see with our name on it, |
| | |
| 1 | you're more than welcome to call us with |
| 2 | questions. |
| 3 | Again, we tried to make that |
| 4 | effort but it wasn't received or nobody |
| 5 | responded to it and, unfortunately, by |
| 6 | virtue of that, there was a lot of |
| 7 | misinformation spread which I think scared |
| 8 | some of the neighbors, some of whom I know |
| 9 | and some of whom are friends. |
| 10 | We had done a survey of the |
| 11 | building and the three-block radius and |
| 12 | more than half have a greater square |
| | |
| 13 | footage than what's done here. |
| 14 | So, I mean, every effort was |
| 15 | made to do that and it would have been |
| 16 | easily resolved had somebody had the |
| 17 | courtesy to return the effort. |
| 18 | CYNTHIA HOLMES: Just for the record, |
| 19 | I would like to say that I did make the |
| 20 | effort to come. |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. 1420 |
| 22 | Thompson Avenue, new construction. |
| 23 | MR. ROBINSON: This is the first time |
| 24 | you have seen this one, but they are |
| 25 | asking for final approval on it. In front |
| 0022 | abiling for rinar approvar on re. in rione |
| 1 | of it you see the application, lot |
| 2 | |
| | coverage calculations. |
| 3 | What they're asking for is a |
| 4 | hundred percent on the second floor |
| 5 | setback, a two percent impervious coverage |
| 6 | relief, but I've informed the applicant |
| 7 | that you all cannot grant that two percent |
| | |
| 8 | impervious coverage because you can't |
| 8 9 | impervious coverage because you can't allow impervious coverage relief. And |
| | impervious coverage because you can't allow impervious coverage relief. And |
| 9 | impervious coverage because you can't |

12 DARYL COBB: I've redrawn it and 13 brought sheets for you all. 14 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: We'll get to you 15 all -- to your presentation. 16 MR. ROBINSON: And one thing I 17 noticed this afternoon was they're asking 18 for a one-foot -- the one-foot relief in 19 height above base flood elevation with the 20 first floor. If you look at the plans, it 21 says that it's 19.3 which is actually 22 5.3 feet above base flood, you all can 23 only give them four, right, three feet 24 plus one would be four. 25 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Right. 0023 MR. ROBINSON: As drawn it's 5.3 or 1 2 5.2 'cause it's five feet three inches 3 above base flood elevation. 4 I did look at -- when I saw that 5 I pulled some of the elevations on some of 6 the other houses in the block, and the 7 first floor elevations at 1920 were 19.3, 8 basically the same thing they're asking for; 1414 was 20.2, 1412 was 19.1, 1411 9 10 was 20.1, and 1408 is 19.5. 11 So all the houses in that area 12 are right what they're asking for, it's 13 just that you all can't grant that extra 14 1.3 feet. 15 MR. HERLONG: This is one of those 16 situations where a flood zone happens to 17 be closer to the grade. MR. ROBINSON: That's correct. 18 19 the other houses in the neighborhood are 20 already up there. Of course, the houses 21 that are lower down below base flood elevation, the prefirm houses, we don't 22 23 have any elevation certificates on those, 24 of course, but generally in that 25 neighborhood all the houses are right 0024 1 where they're putting this one. 2 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thanks. 3 MR. ROBINSON: That's all I've got. 4 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes, sir? 5 DARYL COBB: Hi. I'm Daryl Cobb, I'm 6 the architect. After I submitted the 7 plans to the board I realized, or it was 8 pointed out to me that I couldn't get the 9 relief for the two percent so I 10 redesigned, we cut off some pool deck is 11 what we did and I was told to bring this, 12 I'll give everybody one. So we're below 13 the 30 percent impervious. 14 As in the application, we're 15 asking for relief for that two-foot 16 step-in on the second floor.

17 MR. WRIGHT: And that's all? DARYL COBB: No, sir. We're asking 18 for some relief, I have a stair tower on 19 20 the east elevation and I'm aware that I 21 need relief to have this much stucco at 22 the foundation. I can break it up if I 23 have to, but this is a tower and I like 2.4 the massing of it, I think it's 2.5 appropriate, so. 0025 1 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. 2 That's good. Thank you. 3 Do you want to go ahead and run 4 through the whole thing, are you finished, 5 or? 6 DARYL COBB: Yeah, I'm finished. 7 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Okay. Great. 8 Is there any public comment to 9 this application? 10 JOHN CAGLE: I'm John Cagle, I'm the owner. I've owned it since 1985 and I'm 11 12 just now getting around to building what I 13 want. 14 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes, sir. Thank 15 you, sir. 16 Public comment section's closed. 17 And Randy, anything to add? MR. ROBINSON: The only thing I will 18 19 add is they could, if you all felt 20 comfortable with it, they could -- well, 21 even if you all don't feel comfortable 22 with it, they can go to the board of 23 zoning appeals and ask for that extra 24 1.3 feet by a variance. 25 MR. HERLONG: That would be probably 0026 1 the only way you could get it, I'm 2 thinking, because this board cannot grant that; isn't that correct? 3 4 MR. ROBINSON: That's correct. 5 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Right. Okay. 6 Thank you. 7 Steve, why don't you start it 8 off. 9 MR. HERLONG: Well, I know, I think, 10 that those are difficult lots, very narrow 11 properties, very few options on parking on 12 those properties. And I think the south 13 elevation that you see on the right there 14 does a lot to break up the scale of the 15 house as it faces the street. 16 And even the two side facades, a 17 lot of work has gone on to make some 18 adjustments so that there isn't a large 19 wall on either side property. And then, 20 of course, nice porches on the view side. 21 So I think it's a fine solution,

22 that it fits the neighborhood. And I 23 think this board could possibly approve it 24 with some sort of stipulation that the --25 they need to get a variance on the flood 0027 1 relief that they need to get parking 2 because there's really, it almost seems 3 like a hardship condition. How else can 4 you handle parking really without -- on a 5 property this limited, so. That seems to 6 be the only dilemma. 7 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank 8 you. 9 Betty? 10 MS. HARMON: I'm going to pass right 11 now. 12 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Fred? 13 MR. REINHARD: Again, this is one of 14 those nice houses that the principle 15 building square footage is right within 16 our zoning regulation. 17 I have a question though about 18 the building height. In the ordinance in 19 section 21-24 they talk about building 2.0 heights in 38B. How does this tie into 21 this? What am I missing here? 22 MR. ROBINSON: It's 37 feet 11 inches to the ridge of this house. What they did 23 2.4 was they put a number up here, 47 feet 2 25 inches above sea level. I think that's 0028 1 what you're probably looking at. You're 2 looking at that 47 feet up top? 3 MS. HARMON: Yeah, I was too, it's 4 confusing. 5 MR. ROBINSON: But if you come around 6 the side you'll see that he's got it 7 labeled as thirty-seven eleven. 8 MR. REINHARD: I see, thank you. 9 Looks good. 10 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Jon? 11 MR. LANCTO: Yeah, I like the looks of the house. I think it fits in with the 12 13 rest of the houses on that street, and if 14 they can get the zoning variance, then I 15 don't think we should hold them up. So I 16 would say let's go with it. 17 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Billy? 18 MR. CRAVER: I agree. 19 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Duke? 20 MR. WRIGHT: I have no problem, I think it's fine. 21 22 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I also have no 23 problem with the design. 24 Do I hear a motion? 25 MR. REINHARD: Move for approval. 0029

| 1 | MR. LANCTO: Second. |
|--------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion? MR. CRAVER: That would be subject to |
| 4 | |
| 5 | their getting a variance to allow them to have that extra 1.3 feet? |
| 6 | |
| 7 | MR. REINHARD: It's not in our |
| 8 | purview. |
| 9 | MR. ROBINSON: Or reduce the height, the 18 feet. |
| 10 | MR. LANCTO: We don't have to cover |
| 11 | that in our discussion; right? This does |
| 12 | not pass zoning in its current state; |
| 13 | correct? |
| 14 | MR. ROBINSON: Correct, but you all |
| 15 | could approve it at a height of 18 feet |
| 16 | till the first floor elevation so in case |
| 17 | they decide they just want to go with |
| 18 | 18 feet to build this house, they don't |
| 19 | have to go to the board of zoning appeals. |
| 20 | MR. REINHARD: Is that what you want? |
| 21 | DARYL COBB: Yes, sir. If the zoning |
| 22 | says no, then I guess I would want the |
| 23 | relief from you. |
| 24 | MR. CRAVER: You can have the |
| 25 | one-foot. |
| 0030 | one 1000. |
| 1 | DARYL COBB: I'm allowed one foot. |
| 2 | You're allowed to give me one, not two. |
| 3 | MR. LANCTO: We would amend the |
| 4 | motion. |
| 5 | MR. REINHARD: I move for approval |
| 6 | with one foot of relief. |
| 7 | MR. LANCTO: Or whatever the zoning |
| 8 | gives them. |
| 9 | MR. REINHARD: Well, we don't have to |
| 10 | approve that. |
| 11 | MS. HARMON: You mean the ordinance. |
| 12 | MR. CRAVER: Well, we ought to though |
| 13 | because if they give it and we haven't |
| 14 | given that too, he'd have to come back and |
| 15 | get it from us too. |
| 16 | MR. ROBINSON: That's right. |
| 17 | MR. CRAVER: So. |
| 18 | MR. HERLONG: Can we not just approve |
| 19 | it as submitted or at any with the |
| 20 | first floor at any lower height. |
| 21 | MR. REINHARD: Is that a better way |
| 22 | to do it? |
| 23 | MR. WRIGHT: Would someone restate a |
| 24 | motion that makes sense. |
| 25 | MR. REINHARD: I restate the motion |
| 0031 | |
| 1 | as such. I move for approval that it be |
| 2 | as submitted with relief of one foot in |
| 3 | addition to that, if necessary. Is that |
| 4 5 | better? |
| ວ | MR. CRAVER: No, I don't think that |

| _ | |
|------|--|
| 6 | does it. I think it's as submitted |
| 7 | subject to them getting the relief from |
| 8 | the zoning board of appeals, and if they |
| 9 | don't get that relief, then with the one |
| 10 | foot. |
| 11 | MR. WRIGHT: Restate that motion then |
| | |
| 12 | so it's not fuzzy when we review the |
| 13 | record. |
| 14 | MR. CRAVER: Well, because they've |
| 15 | got 2.3 feet of extra space now. We can |
| 16 | give them one without getting a variance. |
| 17 | But we would also have to approve that |
| 18 | extra 1.3 feet with the variance. So we |
| 19 | got to approve it either way. |
| 20 | |
| | MS. HARMON: Why would we have to |
| 21 | approve it? You don't usually come back |
| 22 | for that. |
| 23 | MR. CRAVER: 'Cause then the design |
| 24 | isn't approved. |
| 25 | MR. ROBINSON: You all just need to |
| 0032 | |
| 1 | approve it both ways, is what you need to |
| 2 | do. You need to approve it with the one |
| | |
| 3 | foot and also approve it with the 2.3 |
| 4 | provided it goes to the board of zoning |
| 5 | appeals and they grant the extra 1.3 feet. |
| 6 | MR. CRAVER: That sounded like a |
| 7 | motion right there. |
| 8 | MR. LANCTO: I would make the motion |
| 9 | we approve it as submitted or at any |
| 10 | height below, as they wish. |
| 11 | MR. ROBINSON: Sounds fine to me. |
| 12 | |
| | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: That works. All |
| 13 | right. Do I hear a second? |
| 14 | MS. HARMON: Second. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion? |
| 16 | (No response.) |
| 17 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in |
| 18 | favor? |
| 19 | MR. WRIGHT: Aye. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Aye. |
| 21 | MR. HERLONG: Aye. |
| 22 | MS. HARMON: Aye. |
| | |
| 23 | MR. REINHARD: Aye. |
| 24 | MR. LANCTO: Aye. |
| 25 | MR. CRAVER: Aye. |
| 0033 | |
| 1 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. |
| 2 | 2402 Raven Drive, new |
| 3 | construction. |
| 4 | MR. ROBINSON: Okay. This has come |
| 5 | before you for final approval, 2402 Raven. |
| 6 | I believe, Kat, you said that they have |
| 7 | |
| | seen this before, I'm sorry, but I don't |
| 8 | remember, in February of '07. |
| 9 | KATE CAMPBELL: '08. It was the |
| 10 | beginning of this year, pretty sure. It |
| | |

MS. KENYON: '08, you're right.

MR. ROBINSON: They're asking for final approval. And they're asking for front yard setback relief, three feet; side yard second floor setback relief, over a hundred percent; principle building coverage, they're asking for some relief from that; and principle building side facade. I don't see any relief for square footage. So that's it. And the plans are in front of you.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great.

Kate?

KATE CAMPBELL: Kate Campbell with Beau Clowney Design. There's really nothing that different about the plan of the house. The biggest difference is, from the last submittal, I don't know if you have the last submittal in front of you, but we were asking for a one-foot height variance on the building foundation height.

And we did determine we are going to put the mechanical still in the floor system but we were able to lower the house by a foot so we're not any more requesting that relief.

The principle building side facade, previously we were requesting a total of ten and a half feet on the principle building side facade and now we're only requesting eight and a half feet.

But the floor plan from the previous submittal is pretty much the same. The second floor we did end up --

initially the plan was, second floor was slightly skewed from the back volume and so for structural integrity and simplicity we aligned those volumes and that actually resulted in a reduction in the second floor square footage from the previous submittal. So the size of the house is a actually a little bit smaller than the last time we came before you.

Other changes, the pool area, previously the pool had been kind of closer to this property line and the deck was here and also more elevated.

We changed this to center the pool more on the house to give them more

16 pool deck area and also be able to lower the open deck closer to grade which we 17 18 felt was all around better just for the 19 look of the house and just usability of 20 the deck with relationship to the pool. 21 The only other change to the 22 site plan was the driveway. Previously we 2.3 had a paved driveway coming straight into 2.4 the house. We have changed that to a 25 pervious driveway, and also a little bit 0036 1 more space to provide parking since there 2 is only one parking space under the house. 3 That's pretty much it. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank 4 5 you. 6 Public comment? 7 (No response.) 8 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Public comment 9 section then is closed. 10 Anything to add? MR. ROBINSON: Nothing. 11 12 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Randy? 13 Duke? 14 MR. WRIGHT: No, I think it's a good 15 design, fits the neighborhood as it 16 currently exists and may develop in the future. So I have no trouble with it. 17 18 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I also have no 19 problems with it. 20 Steve? 21 MR. HERLONG: And I recall when it 22 came through the first time that it's nice 23 to see solutions where you're not needing 24 to get to the 38 feet. This is one where the height of the roof is significantly 25 0037 lower than the maximum height so that's 1 2 really a nice neighborly way to deal with 3 the design. 4 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Betty? 5 MS. HARMON: I have no problems with 6 it. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Fred? 7 8 MR. REINHARD: I love the different 9 roof structures and the way they're 10 applied to this house. It's just fun to 11 look at. 12 I do wonder about the principle building square footage. Am I right in 13 what I'm looking at here, they're asking 14 15 for a 25 percent variance and we're only 16 allowed to give 20, or? 17 MR. ROBINSON: There's a typo in that 18 19 MR. REINHARD: It's 833 square feet 20 more than what we're allowed -- I mean,

| 21 22 23 24 25 0038 | than what the ordinance requires? MR. WRIGHT: That typo MR. LANCTO: That's a typo. MR. REINHARD: What is the square footage of the house? |
|--|--|
| 1 2 3 4 5 | KATE CAMPBELL: The square footage of the house is, total is 3,181, page before that, and you're actually allowed 3,335. MR. REINHARD: Well, then you're fine. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 | KATE CAMPBELL: Right. I think you're looking at the maximum authority for relief, but we're not asking for anything that's what you can grant. MR. REINHARD: Oh, that's the typo. |
| 11 12 13 14 | MR. ROBINSON: Yeah, the ordinance actually says you can do 25 percent. KATE CAMPBELL: We're not asking for it. |
| 15 16 17 | MR. REINHARD: Good for you. I love it. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Jon? |
| 18 19 20 21 22 | MR. LANCTO: Yeah, I wasn't here for the initial but it looks good. I think you guys have already given this a pretty thorough review so I'm fine with it. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Billy? |
| 23 24 25 0039 | MR. CRAVER: I'm good with it. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Do I hear a motion? |
| 1 2 3 | MS. HARMON: I make a motion we approve for final. |
| 4 5 | MR. HERLONG: I second. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion? (No response.) |
| 6 7 8 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in favor. MR. WRIGHT: Aye. |
| 9 10 11 | CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Aye. MR. HERLONG: Aye. MS. HARMON: Aye. |
| 12 13 14 15 | MR. REINHARD: Aye. MR. LANCTO: Aye. MR. CRAVER: Aye. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: All right. |
| 16 17 18 | KATE CAMPBELL: Thank you. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: 2412 Quarter Street, removal from the historic list. |
| 19 20 21 22 | DAVID MARK BROWN: My presentation board came courtesy of the Sullivan's Island Post Office, so. CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Randy, what do we |
| 232425 | have? MR. ROBINSON: Okay. What you have here is Mr. Brown is asking you to let |

0040 1 this house be removed from the historic 2 list. It's historic survey number 362. I 3 don't believe we have a copy of 362. 4 There's some of the historic surveys that 5 are missing. 6 Mr. Brown was talking to me, we looked at it, it was built in 1955. There 7 8 was some question as to whether that house 9 should be on the list or not. I told him, 10 well, he's welcome to come to you all and 11 ask for it to be removed. And so that's 12 what he's doing here. 13 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. 14 you. 15 Yes, sir? 16 DAVID MARK BROWN: Good evening. 17 name is David Mark Brown and this 18 application is for my property at 2412 19 Quarter Street, which also includes the 20 house at 2413 Quarter Street which are 21 currently designated as a historical 22 resource. 23 I believe that's historical 2.4 survey number 169 in the Atlanticville 25 historic register. I'm requesting these 0041 houses to be removed from the list. 1 2 Tonight I'll show you these photographs 3 and give you some information which I 4 believe will support the request. 5 I want to point out also that I 6 have no plans for any radical renovations, 7 additions or demolitions or anything like 8 that, plus I maintain a great respect for 9 historical preservation. 10 I'm also aware that the code 11 points out that there are only two reasons 12 which would allow property to be removed 13 from the list and those are that there was 14 a procedural nonconformity in listing the 15 property or misapplication of criteria for 16 designation. 17 I actually recently discovered 18 the property was on the list only a few 19 years back as the property was not on the 20 original list dated September 24, 2003 21 prepared by David Schneider and forwarded 22 to me afterwards. 23 I'm going to summarize. 24 property actually contains two houses: 25 2412 Quarter Street which is this pink 0042 1 house here which is approximately 2 1200 square feet was built in 1955 on a

concrete block foundation and it's clad in asbestos shingles and asbestos replacement

shingles. 6 This house bears no resemblance 7 whatsoever to any of the other historic structures or homes in the Atlanticville 9 district or anywhere else on Sullivan's 10 Island. The house is situated across the 11 from the, I call it the pumping station 12 which was constructed in the '90s. 13 The other house, 2413 Quarter 14 Street, which is approximately 700 square 15 feet, was built prior to 1955 but we have 16 yet to locate an exact date. 17 For several years even prior to 18 Hurricane Hugo this small house was clad 19 in vinyl and a porch deck was replaced by 20 an aluminum screened porch. The house was 21 so altered by the previous occupants and 22 owners prior to 1983 when we purchased the 23 property that it bears no resemblance to 24 the original structure or to any of the 25 other historical houses in the 0043 Atlanticville district. 1 2 This house is also situated 3 across the street from the outdoor dumping station and what I call the phosphate 4 5 storage enclosure. 6 To continue, the roofs of both 7 houses were badly damaged during Hurricane 8 Hugo and were replaced by contemporary 9 metal roofs. In addition, the older house 10 at 2413 Quarter Street is at most only 700 11 square feet and may, based on inspection 12 of the ceilings and the crawl space, have 13 been only two rooms and not four rooms 14 originally. The houses do not seem to 15 16 qualify under the eight criteria in 17 section 21-94. And I'll go through these 18 very quickly because I have some 19 additional points to point out. 20 There is no significant inherent character, interest or value as part of 21 the development or heritage of Sullivan's 22 23 Island, the State of South Carolina or our 2.4 nation. 25 I would also like to add that my 0044 historical research into the South 1 2 Carolina History Room includes ghost 3 sightings. There have been ghost 4 sightings in the Atlanticville district

but none in these two houses.

significant event in history. The

historic battles were at either end of Sullivan's Island but the old trolley line

5

6

7

8

Neither house was the site of a

10 did run down Quarter Street which was 11 formerly called Railroad Avenue. 12 So from this 1926 map, you can 13 see it was called Railroad Avenue and it 14 goes right down in that area and that's 15 why they used to call it Railroad Avenue. 16 Neither house is associated with 17 a person or persons who contributed 18 significantly to the culture and 19 development of Sullivan's Island, the 20 state of South Carolina or our nation 21 although two fire and rescue icons did 22 reside in the two houses: Aubrey Olsen at 23 2413 Quarter Street, I guess everybody 2.4 remembers the sign he put out there, Next 25 Week Enjoy Folly Beach, and Alex Robinson 0045 1 resided at 2412 Quarter Street. 2 Neither house exemplfies the 3 cultural, political, economic, social, 4 ethnic or historic heritage of Sullivan's 5 Island, the State of South Carolina or our 6 nation, plus at least 2412 Quarter Street, 7 the pink house, is not pictured on page 88 8 in this Sullivan's Island book which 9 features Quarter Street by the Gadsen 10 Cultural Center on the historical and 11 architectural inventory of Sullivan's 12 Island. 13 Neither house embodies 14 distinguishing characteristics of a type, 15 style, period or specimen in architecture 16 or engineering. 17 Neither house contains elements 18 of design, detail, materials or 19 craftsmanship which represents a 20 significant innovation. 21 I did a complete survey of this Sullivan's Island book by the Gadsen 22 23 Cultural Center and noted at least 50 24 historical architectural details that are 25 identified by historical Atlanticville. 0046 1 None of these details outside of 2 my contemporary metal roofs and some 3 possible beaded wood at 2413 Quarter 4 Street is in any of the houses, exterior 5 or interior. 6 Neither house represents an 7 established or familiar visual feature of 8 the Atlanticville Historic District of 9 Sullivan's Island. 10 Strangely, during this survey of 11 historical architectural details, I 12 discovered that my 14-year-old house, the

Boulevard has actually far more exterior

third house I own, at 2911 Jasper

13

15 and interior details that relate to historic Atlanticville than these two 16 houses on Quarter Street. 17 18 Neither house has yielded or may 19 be likely to yield information important 20 in prehistory or history. 21 In addition to a complete survey 2.2 of the Sullivan's Island book by the 23 Gadsen Cultural Center, I spent a number 24 of days at the Calhoun main library and 25 the Sullivan's Island branch library, I've 0047 1 been through all the books by Suzannah 2 Smith Miles, plus books by Ledbetter and 3 Wannamaker, along with numerous newspaper 4 clippings, with no references to any 5 historical event. 6 I also spent a day tracing 7 ownership at the RMC office on Meeting 8 Street including computer, microfilm, the 9 old reference books they have down there. 10 This is an ownership that goes from myself and my brother Steven to 11 12 Joseph and Wilhelmina James and seems to 13 stop with William and Margaret Ehrhardt which were married in 1941 which appears 14 15 to place 2414 -- three Quarter Street, which I can't find a date on, sometime 16 17 after that date. 18 Additionally, I did a search 19 under Margaret Ehrhardt's maiden name --20 this is the woman that married this guy in 21 1941 -- which still came up with no 22 property ownership or property inheritance 23 under the name Margaret Dillon. 24 So the only thing -- conclusion 25 I can come up with is this is a 1955 house 0048 1 and this house was probably thrown up 2 sometime in the 1940s. Maybe somebody has 3 some additional information on that. 4 I also visited 2213 Atlantic 5 Avenue, which I believe was recently removed from this list, and found that 6 7 structure in my opinion to be 8 significantly older and more interesting 9 than my two houses on Quarter Street. 10 In addition to all the previous 11 points, these two houses share absolutely 12 no historical or architectural DNA. 13 They're completely different from one 14 another. 15 This is in complete contrast to 16 the other historical multiple dwelling properties on Sullivan's Island where a 17 18 main building and the accessory structures

are usually a matching historical set.

20 In conclusion, based on my 21 findings today, I sincerely believe that 22 neither 2412 Quarter Street nor 2413 23 Quarter Street warrants being on this 2.4 list. 25 And after removing it from the 0049 1 list if this is possible, you know, the reverse could actually come true, meaning 2 3 that either myself, my decedents, or any 4 other future owners could have the 5 opportunity to make these buildings on 6 this property look more like it belongs in 7 Atlanticville rather than less as it does 8 today. 9 Hopefully the ARB will support 10 my conclusions and I really appreciate 11 your time. 12 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank 13 you, sir. MS. KENYON: Let me make -- that 14 15 sheet I handed, one house is actually 16 number 369 and the other house is actually 17 395. 18 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Is there any 19 public comment on this application? 20 Yes, sir? ERNEST FAVA: Just briefly, Eddy 21 2.2 Fava. I live a couple blocks away. And 23 you've obviously done your homework. Just 24 out of curiosity, if I could ask Mr. Brown 25 a question. 0050 1 I mean, why would you want to 2 remove them from the list? Is there a 3 detriment to have them on the list? DAVID MARK BROWN: First of all, I 4 5 think, deep down inside me, I think it's a 6 sham that they're on the list. That's 7 number one. 8 The other thing, when you've got 9 a house over here that, you know, just 10 speaking of the windows, some are vinyl, 11 some are six-over-six, some are 12 four-over-four, some are one-over-one, I 13 don't want to replace like-for-like like 14 that. You know, I've got an aluminum 15 screened porch here. 16 Why would I want to -- you know, 17 I would prefer, after reading this book, 18 which inspired me a lot, I'd rather 19 replace that porch with a porch, later on 20 down the road, with a porch that possibly 21 looks more like Atlanticville, because 22 this porch does not look like

You know, if this structure

Atlanticville.

23

25 right here is historical, that means we 0051 1 got tens of thousands of houses on James 2 and Johns Island that are also historical. 3 So, you know, the National Historical 4 Registry has got a lot of work cut out for 5 them. 6 But both of these houses, and 7 this house over here, this is all concrete 8 block here and this whole peak section is 9 concrete block. 10 When I look at these homes in 11 this book, I look at every single one of 12 these house here and the first adjective 13 that comes to my mind is historical and 14 quaint and things like that. Nobody has 15 ever gone by my house and -- these two 16 houses and said -- used the word quaint. 17 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank 18 you, sir. Is there any other public 19 20 comment? 21 ERNEST FAVA: The only other thing I 22 wanted to say on that, and again, I 2.3 certainly think you've done your homework, 2.4 as you said, so I wouldn't want to deter 25 you from doing that, but I think what I 0052 1 think is important about them is the 2 massing and we're losing those and kind of 3 hate to see that happen. 4 But if the intention is to go 5 the direction you're going where you keep 6 some of that, 'cause the ones that I 7 renovated were very similar to that, concrete block, small, little two-room 8 9 shacks, but tried to kind of reference the 10 history of the island and the vernacular 11 and I guess what I was afraid of is they 12 were going to be wiped off the face of the 13 map and then something built out to the 14 max like the governor's place, that would 15 be a shame. DAVID MARK BROWN: I don't think that 16 17 the setbacks on this property would allow 18 for anything -- and anything that I would 19 ever do would have to be approved by this 20 group of people here and everybody else 21 anyway. 22 ERNEST FAVA: I just wasn't sure if 23 it required a demolition permit if it comes off the list or not. 24 DAVID MARK BROWN: Quite honestly, I 25 0053 1 have no interest in demolition. I have no 2 interest in anything. I just don't think

that these houses are historical.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Great. Thank 5 you, sir. 6 Fred, do you want to start? 7 MR. REINHARD: Some compelling 8 arguments there and a very nice 9 presentation, but I don't see any reason 10 to take it off the list, either house. 11 MR. HERLONG: I've got a question. 12 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Yes, sir, Steve. 13 MR. HERLONG: It's in one of the 14 Island's historical districts. Is it on 15 one of the national register districts? 16 Is that property in one of those 17 districts? 18 MR. ROBINSON: I know it's in the island district but I'm not sure it's in 19 20 the national registry district. Give me a 21 second, I'll go look on the map. 22 MR. HERLONG: What I noted is that 23 we, the town established the four 24 districts and then the national register 25 districts have been applied or overlaid 0054 1 and they are smaller than the Island's 2. districts. 3 So those homes that are outside 4 the national register district but in the 5 town's district are at a distinct 6 disadvantage when it comes to renovations. 7 The 50 percent rule issue becomes a big 8 problem for those homes. 9 MR. ROBINSON: It is in both the 10 national register and the Sullivan's 11 Island district. MR. HERLONG: What that means is that 12 these properties can qualify for that 13 historic variance on 50 percent rule 14 15 issues and can be rehabbed and brought, 16 may be brought -- have more character 17 brought into them even though they might 18 be below flood. 19 So I'm not seeing that there's 20 any disadvantage to be on the historic 21 list, especially being in a national 22 register district. It almost gives them 23 some advantages. 24 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Jon? 25 MR. LANCTO: Well, I agree with your 0055 1 comments about the age and the look, but I 2 do agree that the massing is something 3 that I'm afraid we're losing a lot of and 4 would be inclined to keep that size 5 building intact on the island. 6 If this property were to change 7 hands, I think it would give somebody the

opportunity, if these weren't still on the

historic district, of just being wiped off and replaced with probably one large structure. So I'd be inclined to leave it as is, especially with Steve's point with the advantages of being in the historic district. MARK DAVID BROWN: Can I ask a question, because I haven't really heard

MARK DAVID BROWN: Can I ask a question, because I haven't really heard this term massing before. Does that mean somebody would tear down a house and build a larger structure there, is that what you mean?

MS. HARMON: Yes.

2.3

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Essentially.
MARK DAVID BROWN: Is there a way
that we could work out some type of

compromise where we could put something in writing that somebody couldn't build larger structures there, we couldn't do that?

Because one of my concerns is that, you know, with these old houses and things like that, you know, some of them are kind of like mere space stations, it's just a matter of time before they disintegrate, you know. You know, could be ten years from now or 20 years from now.

And the idea particularly of either one of these houses is that, for me, you know, both here and here, I would want to put something there possibly in the future that would actually reflect Atlanticville more than what they do now.

But, you know, by keeping a 1955 house and a house that was possibly just thrown up sometime in the '40s quite quickly and designate these buildings as historical, this is the issue I have problems with because they're not historical.

If you go into the national site of historical registry and there's, I think it said there's 85,000 properties or something listed in the country or something like that, and the spirit of registering a house and a house being historical in this country, these houses do not fit any of that criteria also.

MS. HARMON: Well, I think from my point of view, it does fit in the Atlanticville area and it's part of the socioeconomic times and that's what we're trying to preserve here so I would not be

14 in favor of taking it off the list for 15 that reason. 16 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Billy? 17 MR. CRAVER: I actually agree with 18 Mr. Brown. This to me is a classic example of what shouldn't be on the 19 20 historic list. And we have a 2.1 well-thought-out new zoning ordinance that would govern what size structure could be 22 23 put on that property if these were torn 24 down. 25 And I just, I look at these two 0058 1 things and I go -- to me, I see absolutely 2 no historic redeeming qualities to them. 3 And, you know, the massing 4 issue, the zoning ordinance deals with 5 that. And we got all kinds of good stuff 6 in there to deal with that. The shape of 7 that lot is going to -- I don't know what 8 he could put on that lot with its shape. 9 But it ain't going to be a huge mass. I just think that to call those 10 11 two houses historic to me is almost a joke 12 to our ordinance. I mean, that's just my 13 opinion. 14 So I would grant the request. But I also don't believe that anything 15 16 that's on that list will ever get off that 17 list. So you'll have one vote at least. 18 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I'll make a 19 comment. The problem with taking it off 20 the list is it does allow basically for 21 demolition, you could demolish it, and 22 then -- and I would hate to see those demolished even though that's not your 23 intention to demolish them. But I would 24 25 hate to see those houses demolished. 0059 1 The massing is important as part 2 of the historical and cultural heritage of 3 Sullivan's Island which is what our 4 historic ordinance is about primarily, 5 it's not necessarily about a 150-year old 6 house. 7 But within the district it is, 8 this board has been relatively lenient and 9 helpful in letting people rehab and add 10 and even make larger the historical 11 structures, especially when there's 12 obvious -- something like this when it 13 could be a little of this, could be a

14

15

16

17

18

more advantage, like Steve pointed out, in

little of that, it's not obviously old.

leaving them on the list because of the

relief from the 50 percent rule and more

And so there really is probably

19 value in the property, I mean, because of 20 that. It's maybe not evidently seen by 21 you, but there's probably more value 22 there, you've got two structures there. 23 And I'd have a hard time -- I 24 would not be in favor of taking them off 25 the list, so, basically. 0060 Duke? 1 2 MR. WRIGHT: One of them is in the 3 altered category, 395, on the list. 4 MARK DAVID BROWN: Which one is that, 5 sir? 6 MR. WRIGHT: That's the smaller one, 7 2413. If you look at the list that was 8 prepared bring Mr. Schneider, that one is 9 in the altered category. That takes it 10 out of our domain anyway, doesn't it? 11 Didn't we determine or --12 MS. HARMON: I don't think so. 13 MR. WRIGHT: -- wasn't it determined 14 that this board did not have any authority over houses that were in the altered 15 16 category? 17 MR. ROBINSON: Yes, but the ordinance 18 also references the map and the list. And 19 on the map, this is, both of these structures are traditional island 20 21 resources. 22 MR. WRIGHT: What governs? 23 MR. ROBINSON: Either/or. So if one 24 of them -- whichever one is more 25 stringent. If the map says that they're 0061 1 two traditional island resources, they're 2 two traditional island resources. 3 You know, the one thing I will 4 say about this property is it is in the 5 historic district and the national 6 registry district. Anything that happens 7 on this property, whether these houses are 8 removed from the list or not, come before 9 you all. 10 I mean, you can take them off 11 the list but it's still, everything that 12 happens with these homes is still going to 13 come before you. 14 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: But the problem 15 is they're going to be eight or ten feet 16 off the ground, any new structure; isn't 17 that correct? 18 MR. ROBINSON: Not necessarily. Not 19 necessarily. A new home within a national 20 register district can get a variance to be

down below flood if that will -- if being

up above flood will harm the national

register district.

22

24 MR. HERLONG: And also the fact that 25 these are currently considered historic 0062 1 doesn't mean they can't be altered or you 2 can't sympathetically add an addition to 3 either one of them. I may be wrong about either one of them. There's got to be one main one that could be added onto. 5 6 But additions, alterations and 7 upgrades to historic structures are 8 occurring on the island all the time. 9 window issue, as you mentioned, could 10 easily come before this board to be 11 resolved so that maybe there should be one 12 window style in either one of those homes. 13 The fact that they're on the 14 list doesn't mean they're preserved as 15 they are in that just sort of random state 16 they're in. 17 MR. REINHARD: That's a good point. 18 MARK DAVID BROWN: I don't know if 19 it's appropriate for me to make this comment to you all, but this house that 20 21 was on -- was this house on 2213 Atlantic 2.2 Avenue removed from the list? From what I understand, it was removed from the list. 23 24 MS. HARMON: It wasn't on the 25 historic list, was it --0063 1 MR. ROBINSON: That structure was 2 also a traditional island resource but it was not within a historic district, it was 3 4 outside of the historic district. That's 5 the difference between these two. 6 MARK DAVID BROWN: So if you had the 7 one house on Atlantic Avenue which 8 actually looks historic and is more 9 historic than these houses that was not in 10 the historic district which was removed from this, from the list, you got two more 11 12 modern houses than that that happen to be 13 in the Atlanticville district on Quarter 14 Street. 15 And they're also opposite two huge eyesores over there: One is this 16 17 pumping station that went on for quite a 18 long time over there, and then this other 19 area which I call it a phosphate storage 20 area where you have semi-hazardous waste 21 which is supposed to be stored in cool, 22 dry places. So you have barrels over 23 there, loads of them with residue in them 24 on the other side of the street. 25 MR. REINHARD: Mr. Chairman, what 0064 1 does this have to do with the historic

2

district?

3 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Well, what's across the street I guess really doesn't. 5 MARK DAVID BROWN: I think it has a 6 lot to do with it because if we're talking 7 about preservation on Sullivan's Island, 8 why is the island -- this same group here 9 not looking after what's strictly across 10 the street from these houses. 11 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I think we are. 12 I think we're talking odds and ends. But 13 I think this board and what the original 14 island historical list, why it was made 15 was not only, as I say, to protect the 16 100- or 150-year old homes but was also to 17 protect the moderate nature of Sullivan's 18 Island or what was the moderate -- we 19 wanted to keep as much moderation in 20 Sullivan's Island. It's gotten a little 21 out of the barn. 22 And that's what we're talking 23 about. Essentially we're talking about, 24 like I said, the massing and the feel of it, these houses. And these houses, like 25 0065 1 Steve pointed out, if they came before us 2 again for some modification, I think this 3 board would be very generous in that, in 4 the modification. 5 I would not like to see those 6 houses demolished, and essentially by taking them off of this, they could be 7 8 demolished tomorrow. And I just don't 9 think this board would like to see that 10 happen nor do I think probably most of 11 Sullivan's Island would like to see this 12 happen. 13 MARK DAVID BROWN: We keep going, I 14 keep hearing the word demolish. Is there 15

any way that we can say that's not going to happen, put that in writing, there's absolutely no -- 'cause this is not the intent of this.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0066 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Well, the problem is if you sold -- if you had that admonition on the property, you'd have to sell the property with that, so you'd have to sell the property with that attached to the deed. I don't even know if that's even possible. But you'd have to have

some attachment to the deed that said you could never demolish these if you ever wanted to sell it.

You'd probably be putting these properties -- really devaluing your property if you wanted to attach something like that. I think that would be a

problem for you. And I don't know that we could even do that.

I know some boards have done some attachments to deeds before like with attachments, properties, you know, make sure there's not rental units going to be, but I don't know that that would be for this board anyway, maybe for another board altogether, board of adjustment or something like that.

MR. ROBINSON: As it stands now, even if these two homes were taken off the list, to demolish them, they would still have to come before this board because they're in a district.

CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Oh really? Yeah. Yeah. So really it's six of one, half a dozen of the other. Even if they're taken

off the list, you know, which really is going to be a disadvantage because this being on the list is an advantage in a way, if it's taken off, the board has control anyway.

I'd hate to see them taken off the list because I think whatever future board in ten years would have an idea that these still should have more concern even though they have to come before the board again.

But if they have to come before the board no matter what, I guess that's a consideration. But I'm not so sure taking them off the list would be an advantage for the property because I know this board right now would hate to see these demolished.

Now, there's no reason in ten years that somebody, you or whoever owns the property, couldn't make another application to take them off the list again, I guess, or five years or next year, I guess, whatever, to a different board and that board may feel differently.

At least I'm not speaking for the whole board though. But that's the way I feel, I guess.

Duke, you haven't really jumped in on this I don't think. Do you want -- MR. WRIGHT: Well, I've got mixed emotions here. It's a tough one. But I lean towards keeping them on the list for the reasons stated. And I think as Steve or someone mentioned, if you do want to do work, alteration, modification, such as the windows or any other feature on the

13 exterior of the buildings, this board 14 would be very much in favor of doing what 15 you want to do, I'm sure, to provide 16 consistency in the houses. 17 MARK DAVID BROWN: Actually what you 18 just said is possibly what I'm looking 19 for. And what I want to be able to do in 2.0 the future is make these houses not only 21 look more like the Atlanticville district, 22 but I'd also like to also make them more, 23 I would say, use the term, Hugo-proof, if 24 you understand what I'm saying, 25 particularly when it comes to glass in 0069 1 windows, style of windows, type of windows 2 and things like that. 3 And if I can come before this 4 board and say, you know, I need to do 5 these things, they're modern revisions but 6 they will look old and they will fit into 7 the neighborhood, I would want to have 8 that opportunity to do something like 9 that. Are you following me? 10 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: I think this 11 board would be sympathetic to that. 12 don't think this board can say we will 13 grant you everything you want in the 14 future, but I think we would be 15 sympathetic to something like that. 16 MR. HERLONG: Or I could say this 17 board has in the past allowed very historic structures to have, in some of 18 19 their additions, impact rated windows. 20 They can -- you can upgrade a structure 21 and keep the historic look. That's been 22 approved by this board in the past. So this board has worked 23 24 probably in every way they can to let 25 homeowners deal with their insurance 0070 1 issues or often opposing what a historic 2 board might want. So we've got a lot of 3 those issues that go on on this island. I 4 think the board does try to help 5 homeowners do the things they need but 6 deal with the historic issues of the 7 neighborhoods. 8 CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you, sir. 9 Do I hear a motion? 10 MS. HARMON: I make a motion that we 11 deny the request to demolish. 12 MR. REINHARD: It's not deny --13 MARK DAVID BROWN: It wasn't a 14 request to demolish. MS. HARMON: -- to remove it from the 15 16 list. 17 MR. REINHARD: Second.

```
18
                    CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Discussion?
19
               (No response.)
20
                    CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody in
21
               favor?
22
                    MR. WRIGHT: Aye.
23
                    CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Aye.
24
                    MR. HERLONG: Ave.
2.5
                    MS. HARMON: Aye.
0071
1
                    MR. REINHARD: Aye.
 2
                    MR. LANCTO: Aye.
 3
                    CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Everybody
 4
               opposed?
 5
                    MR. CRAVER: Aye.
 6
                    CHAIRMAN ILDERTON: Thank you, sir.
 7
                         We're adjourned.
 8
               (The hearing was concluded 7:12 p.m.)
                          ---000---
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0072
    STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )
1
                                      CERTIFICATE
    COUNTY OF DORCHESTER
                             )
 3
               I, Janice D. Hayward, Registered Merit
 4
    Reporter and Notary Public, certify that I was
    authorized to and did stenographically report the
 6
    foregoing deposition, and that the transcript is
 7
    a true record of the testimony given by the witness.
               I further certify that the witness was
9
    duly sworn and cautioned by me to tell the truth,
10
    the whole truth and nothing but the truth. I
11
    certify that the same was reduced to typewritten
12
     form from my original stenograph notes by
13
    computer-aided transcription.
14
               I further certify that I am not of
15
     counsel or kin to any of the parties to this cause
16
     of action, nor am I interested in any manner in
17
     its outcome.
18
               IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set
19
    my hand and seal this the 29th day of December 2008.
20
21
```

| 22 | |
|----|-------------------------------|
| | Janice D. Hayward, RMR |
| 23 | Notary Public, South Carolina |
| | My Comm. Expires Oct. 9, 2012 |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |